

Methods / Methodology of Process Research

Abbott, A. (1983), Sequences of social events. *Historical Methods*, vol.1, no.4, pp.129.

Abbott, A. (1984), Event sequence and event duration: colligation and measurement. *Historical Methods*, vol.17, pp.192-204.

Abbott, A. (1988), Transcending general linear reality. *Sociological Theory*, vol.6, pp.169-186.

Abbott, A. (1990), A primer on sequence methods. *Organization Science*, vol.1, no.4, pp.375-392.

Abbott, A. (1992), From causes to events: notes on narrative positivism. *Sociological Methods & Research*, vol.20, pp.428-455.

Abbott, A. (1992), What do cases do? Some notes on activity in sociological analysis. In: C.C. Ragin & H.S. Becker (eds.), *What Is A case? Exploring the Foundations of Sociological Inquiry*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp.53-82.

Abbott, A. (1993), Measure for measure: Abell's narrative method. *Journal of Mathematical Sociology*, vol.18, pp.203-113.

Abbott, A. (1995), Sequence analysis: new methods for old ideas. *Annual Review of Sociology*, vol.21, pp.93-113.

Abbott, A. (2000), Reply to Levine and Wu. *Sociological Methods & Research*, vol.29, no.1, pp.65-76.

Abbott, A. (2001), *Time Matters: On Theory and Method*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.

Abbott, A. & A. Tsay (2000), Sequence analysis and optimal matching methods in sociology. *Sociological Methods & Research*, vol.29, no.1, pp.3-33.

Abell, P. (1987), *The Syntax of Social Life: The Theory and Method of Comparative Narratives*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

Abell, P. (2004), Narrative explanation: an alternative to variable-centered explanation? *Annual Review of Sociology*, vol.30, pp.287-310.

Aminzade, R. (1992), Historical sociology and time. *Sociological Methods & Research*, vol.20, no.4, pp.456-480.

Balogun, J., A.S. Huff & P. Johnson (2003), Three responses to the methodological challenges of studying strategizing. *Journal of Management Studies*, vol.40, no.1, pp.197-224.

Barley, S.R. (1990) Images of imagining: notes on doing longitudinal fieldwork. *Organization Science*, vol.1, no.3, pp.220-247.

Barley, S.R. & P.S. Tolbert (1997), Institutionalization and structuration: studying the links between action and institution. *Organization Studies*, vol.18, no.1, pp.93-117.

Burgelman, R.A. (1985b), Applying the methodology of grounded theorizing in strategic management: recent findings and their implications. In: R. Lamb & P. Shrivastava (eds.), *Advances in Strategic Management*, vol.3. Greenwich, CN: JAI Press, pp.83-99.

Büthe, T. (2002), Taking temporality seriously: modeling history and the use of narratives as evidence. *American Political Science Review*, vol.96, no.3, pp.481-493.

Chau, V.S. & B.J. Witcher (2005), Longitudinal tracer studies: research methodology of the middle range. *British Journal of Management*, vol.16, pp.343-355.

Corsaro, W.A. & D.R. Heise (1990), Event structure models from ethnographic data. In: C. Clogg (ed.), *Sociological Methodology*. Cambridge, MA: Basil Blackwell, pp.1-57.

Dawson, P. (1997), In at the deep end: conducting processual research on organizational change. *Scandinavian Journal of Management*. vol.13, no.4, pp.389-405.

De Cock, C. & R.J. Sharp (2007), Process theory and research: exploring the dialectic tension. *Scandinavian Journal of Management*, vol.23, pp.233-250.

DeSanctis, G. & M.S. Poole (1994), Capturing the complexity in advanced technology use: adaptive structuration theory. *Organization Science*, vol.5, no.2, pp.121-147.

Dooley, K. (2002), Simulation research methods. In: J. Baum (ed.), *Companion to Organizations*. Oxford: Basil Blackwell, pp.829-848.

Dooley, K. & S. Corman (2004), Dynamic analysis of news streams: institutional versus environmental effects, *Nonlinear Dynamics, Psychology & Life Sciences*, vol.8, no.3, pp. 403-428.

Dooley, K.J. & A.H. Van de Ven (1999), Explaining complex organizational dynamics. *Organization Science*, vol.10, no.3, pp.358-372.

Forrest, J. & A. Abbott (1990), The optimal matching method for studying anthropological sequence data: An introduction and reliability analysis. *Journal of Quantitative Anthropology*, vol.2, pp.151-170.

Ferlie, E. & T. McNulty (1997), "Going to market": changing patterns in the organization and character of process research. *Scandinavian Journal of Management*, vol.13, no.4, pp.367-387.

Fox-Wolfgramm, S.J. (1997), Towards developing a methodology for doing qualitative research: the dynamic-comparative case study method. *Scandinavian Journal of Management*, vol.13, no.4, pp.439-455.

George, A.L. & A. Bennett (2005), *Case Studies and Theory Development in the Social Sciences*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Glick, W.H., G.P. Huber, C.C. Miller, D.H. Doty & K.M. Sutcliffe (1990), Studying changes in organizational design and effectiveness: retrospective event histories and periodic assessments. *Organization Science*, vol.1, no.3, pp.293-312.

Golden, B.R. (1992), The past is the past - or is it? The use of retrospective accounts as indicators of past strategy. *Academy of Management Journal*, vol.35, no.4, pp.848-860.

Golden, B.R. (1997), Further remarks on retrospective accounts in organizational and strategic management research. *Academy of Management Journal*, vol.40, no.5, pp.1243-1252.

Gotham, K.F. & W.G. Staples (1996), Narrative analysis and the new historical sociology. *Sociological Quarterly*, vol.37, no.3, pp.481-501.

Griffin, L.J. (1992), Temporality, events, and explanations in historical sociology: an introduction. *Sociological Research & Methods*, vol.20, pp.403-427.

Griffin, L.J. (1995), How is sociology informed by history? *Social Forces*, vol.73, no.4, pp.1245-1254.

Griffin L.J. & R.R. Korstad (1998), Historical inference and event-structure analysis. *International Review of Social History*, Vol.43, pp.145-165.

Hall, P.A. (2006), Systematic process analysis: When and how to use it. *European Management Review*, vol.3, pp.24-31.

Heise, D.R. (1989), Modelling event structures. *Journal of Mathematical Sociology*, vol.14, pp.139-169.

Hinings, C.R. (1997), Reflections on processual research. *Scandinavian Journal of Management*, vol.13, no.4, pp.493-503.

Huber, G. (1985), Temporal stability and response-order biases in participants descriptions of organizational decisions. *Academy of Management Journal*, vol.28, no.4, pp.943-950.

Langley, A. (1999) Strategies for theorizing from process data. *Academy of Management Review*, vol.24, no.4, pp.691-710.

Langley, A (2007), Process thinking in strategic organization. *Strategic Organization*, vol.5, no.3, pp.271-282.

Langley, A., N. Kakabadse & S. Swailes (2007), Longitudinal textual analysis: an innovative method for analyzing how realized strategies evolve. *Qualitative Research in Organizations and Management: An International Journal*, vol. 2, no.2, pp.104-125.

Leonard-Barton, D. (1990), A dual methodology for case studies: synergistic use of a longitudinal single site with replicated multiple sites. *Organization Science*, vol.1, no.3, pp.248-266.

Levine, J.H. (2000), But what have you done for us lately? *Sociological Methods & Research*, vol.29, no.1, pp.34-40.

Mahoney, J. (2004), Comparative-historical methodology. *Annual Review of Sociology*, vol.30, pp.81-101.

McPhee, R.D. (1990), Alternate approaches to integrating longitudinal case studies. *Organization Science*, vol.1, no.4, pp.393-405.

Miller, D. & P. Friesen (1982), The longitudinal analysis of organizations: a methodological perspective. *Management Science*, vol.28, no.9, pp.1013-1034.

Mintzberg, H. (1979b), An emerging strategy of direct research. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, vol.24, pp.582-589.

Mitchell, T.R. & L.R. James (2001), Building better theory: time and the specification of when things happen. *Academy of Management Review*, vol.26, no.4, pp.530-547.

Mohr, L.B. (1982), *Explaining Organizational Behavior: The Limits and Possibilities of Theory and Research*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.

Monge, P.R. (1990), Theoretical and analytical issues in studying organizational processes. *Organization Science*, vol.1, no.4, pp.406-430.

Orlikowski, W.J. (2000), Using technology and constituting structures: a practice lens for studying technology in organizations. *Organization Studies*, vol.11, no.4, pp.404-428.

Orlikowski, W.J. & J. Yates (1994), Genre repertoire: the structuring of communicative practices in organizations. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, vol.39, no.4, pp.541-574.

Orton, J.D. (1997), From inductive to iterative grounded theory: zipping the gap between process theory and process data. *Scandinavian Journal of Management*, vol.13, no.4, pp.419-438.

Pentland, B.T. (1995), Grammatical models of organizational process. *Organization Science*, vol.6, no.5, pp.541-556.

Pentland, B.T. & H.H. Reuter (1994), Organizational routines as grammars of action. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, vol.39, no.3, pp.484-510.

Pentland, B. (1999), Building process theory with narrative: from description to explanation. *Academy of Management Review*, vol.24, no.4, pp.711-724.

Pentland, B.T. & M.S. Feldman (2007), Narrative networks: Patterns of technology and organization. *Organization Science*, vol.18, no.5, pp.781-795.

Pettigrew, A.M. (1985), Contextualist research: a natural way to link theory and practice. In: E. Lawler (ed.), *Doing Research That Is Useful In Theory and Practice*. San Francisco, Cal.: Jossey-Bass, pp.222-274.

Pettigrew, A.M. (1990), Longitudinal field research on change: theory and practice. *Organizations Science*, vol.1, no.3, pp.267-292.

Pettigrew, A.M. (1992), The Character and Significance of Strategy Process Research. *Strategic Management Journal*, vol.13, pp.5-16.

Pettigrew, A.M. (1997), What is a processual analysis? *Scandinavian Journal of Management*, vol.13, no.4, pp.337-348.

Poole, M.S., A.H. Van de Ven, K. Dooley & M.E. Holmes (2000), *Organizational Change and Innovation Processes. Theory and Methods for Research*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Quadagno, J. & S.J. Knapp (1992), Have historical sociologists forsaken theory? Thoughts on the history/theory relationship. *Sociological Methods & Research*, vol.20, no.4, pp.481-507.

Ropo, A., P. Eriksson & J.G. Hunt (1997), Reflections on conducting processual research on management and organizations. *Scandinavian Journal of Management*, vol.13, no.4, pp.3312-3335.

Sminia, H. (2009), Process research in strategy formation: theory, methodology, and relevance. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, vol.11, no.1, pp.97-125.

Smith, A.D. (2002), From process data to publication: a personal sensemaking. *Journal of Management Inquiry*, vol.11, no.4, pp.383-406.

Tilly, C. (2001), The historical analysis of political processes. In: J. Turner (edt.), *Handbook of Sociological Theory*. New York: Springer, pp.567-588.

Van de Ven, A.H. (1992), Suggestions for studying strategy process: a research note. *Strategic Management Journal*, vol.13, pp.169-188.

Van de Ven, A.M. (2007), *Engaged Scholarship: A Guide for Organizational and Social Research*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Van de Ven, A.H. & Y. Chu (1989), A psychometric assessment of the Minnesota innovation survey. In: A.H. Van de Ven, H.L. Angle & M.S. Poole

(eds.), *Research on the Management of Innovation*. New York: Harper & Row, pp.55-103.

Van de Ven, A. & G.P. Huber (1990), Longitudinal field research methods for studying processes of organizational change. *Organization Science*, vol.1, no.3, pp.213-219.

Van de Ven, A.H. & M.S. Poole (1989), Methods for studying innovation processes. In: A.H. Van de Ven, H.L. Angle & M.S. Poole (eds.), *Research on the Management of Innovation*. New York: Harper & Row, pp: 31-54.

Van de Ven, A.H. & M.S. Poole (1990), Methods for studying innovation development in the Minnesota Innovation Research Program. *Organization Science*, vol.1, no.3, pp.313-335.

Van de Ven, A.H. & M.S. Poole (1995), Explaining development and change in organizations. *Academy of Management Review*, vol.20, no.3, pp.510-540.

Van de Ven, A.H. & M.S. Poole (2002), Field research methods. In: J.A.C. Baum (ed.), *Companion to Organizations*. Oxford: Blackwell, pp.867-888.

Van de Ven, A.H. & M.S. Poole (2005), Alternative approaches for studying organizational change. *Organization Studies*, vol.26, no.9, pp.1377-1404.

Weick, K.E. (1989), Theory construction as disciplined imagination. *Academy of Management Review*, vol.14, no.4, pp.516-531.

Woiceshyn, J. (1997), Literary analysis as a metaphor in processual research: a story of technological change. *Scandinavian Management Journal*, vol.13, no.4, pp.457-471.

Wu, L.L. (2000), Some comments on "sequence analysis and optimal matching methods in sociology: review and prospect. *Sociological Methods & Research*, vol.29, no.1, pp.41-64.